



🌵 Inserm









Prostate Cancer Semantic Segmentation by Gleason Score Group in bi-parametric MRI with Self Attention Model on the Peripheral Zone



6 - 9 July 2020

Audrey Duran ¹ Pierre-Marc Jodoin ² Carole Lartizien ¹

 1 Univ Lyon, INSA-Lyon, UCB Lyon 1,CNRS, Inserm, CREATIS UMR 5220, U1206, Lyon, France 2 Computer Science Department, University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada



This work was supported by the RHU PERFUSE (ANR-17-RHUS-0006) of Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1 (UCBL), within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" operated by the French National Research Agency (ANR).

Context : Prostate Cancer Diagnosis with MRI

- Multiparametric MRI allows early detection of prostate cancer
- Need for computer aided diagnosis (CAD) system to assist radiologists facing difficult cases
- Need to detect cancer and predict their aggressiveness (clinical outcome, active surveillance, focal therapy etc.)



T2-w/

CAD for prostate cancer segmentation: state-of-the-art

Deep Learning based prostate lesion segmentation:

- Mainly binary segmentation (cancer vs benign) [Yang et al., MEDIA, . 2017; Wang et al., IEEE TMI, . 2018]
- Few studies performing multi-class segmentation [Cao et al., IEEE TMI, . 2019]
- Some attempts to focus attention on the prostate zone [Yang *et al.*, MEDIA, . 2017; Wang *et al.*, IEEE TMI, . 2018]

MIDL 2020

Our Contribution: ProstAttention-Net

A novel end-to-end architecture that :

- Jointly performs PZ segmentation and multi-class segmentation of PCa lesions by aggressiveness (Gleason Score)
- ▶ Focuses attention on the peripheral zone (PZ) of the prostate



Our Contribution: ProstAttention-Net

- Global loss = sum of the 2 branches' losses
- Combination of weighted dice loss and cross entropy

Loss :
$$L = \lambda_1 . L_{PZ} + \lambda_2 . L_{lesion}$$
 where

$$L_{PZ} = 1 - 2 \frac{\sum_{c=1}^{2} w_c \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{ci} \rho_{ci}}{\sum_{c=1}^{2} w_c \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{ci} + \rho_{ci}} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{y_i \in C_c} w_c \log \rho_{ci}$$

$$L_{lesion} = 1 - 2 \frac{\sum_{c=1}^{7} w_c \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{ci} \rho_{ci}}{\sum_{c=1}^{7} w_c \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{ci} + \rho_{ci}} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{7} \mathbb{1}_{y_i \in C_c} w_c \log p_{ci}$$

with w_c the class-specific weight, p_{ci} the probability predicted by the model for the observation *i* to belong to class *c* and y_{ci} the ground truth label for pixel *i*.

Dataset

98 patients dataset

- ▶ 57 from a 1.5T scanner (Symphony; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany)
- ▶ 41 from a 3T scanner (Discovery; General Electric, Milwaukee, USA)
- ► T2w and ADC modalities
- whole-mount histopathology slices of the prostatectomy specimens as ground truth

Table: Lesions distribution by Gleason Score

GS 3+3	GS 3+4	GS 4+3	GS 8	$\mathbf{GS} \geq 9$	Total
37	47	23	16	9	132

Experiments

2 segmentation tasks

- discriminate clinically significant lesions (GS>6)
 - FROC on the whole volume or on slices with lesions only

discriminate lesions of each Gleason score (GS) group

- FROC and quadratic-weighted kappa
- 5-fold cross-validation
- Ablation study to evaluate the influence of the attention model

Results: FROC analysis for CS lesion segmentation



MIDL 2020

Results: FROC analysis by Gleason Score Group

Table: Comparison between our ProstAttention-Net and U-Net detection sensitivity at given false positive (FP) per patient thresholds on each Gleason Score group - *preliminary results due to the few lesions per Gleason Score group*

	GS	\geq 9	G	58	GS	4+3	GS	3+4	GS	3+3
	1FP	1.5FP	1FP	1.5FP	1FP	1.5FP	1FP	1.5FP	1FP	1.5FP
U-Net	0.70	0.70	0.43	0.45	0.40	0.50	0.43	0.47	0.17	0.17
ProstAttention-Net	0.80	0.80	0.28	0.28	0.48	0.54	0.46	0.54	0.19	0.25

Table: Cohen's quadratic weighted kappa coefficient

U-Net	0.31 ± 0.08
ProstAttention-Net	$\textbf{0.35}{\pm}~\textbf{0.05}$

Visual Results



E : PZ : GS 3+4 : GS 4+3 : GS 8

Figure: Prediction comparison for several images from the validation set.

MIDL 2020

PCa segmentation by GS Group with ProstAttention-Net - audrey.duran@creatis.insa-lyon.fr

Conclusion and perspectives

Conclusion :

Our ProstAttention-Net model allows:

- ► Joint segmentation of PZ and lesions by Gleason Score Group
- Outperforming U-Net
- Robust to a heterogeneous dataset

Perspectives :

- Include lesions of the prostate transition zone
- Add more patients, that might not be fully annotated
- Ranking based losses
- Evaluate the model on PROSTATEx-2 public dataset

Thank you for your attention !

References

- Cao, R. et al. en. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging (2019).
- Wang, Z. et al. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging **37**, 1127–1139 (2018).
- Yang, X. et al. Medical Image Analysis 42, 212–227 (2017).